



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,343	10/12/2000	Romualdas Vaisvila	NEB-181	7976
28986 75	590 10/30/2003		EXAM	INER
NEW ENGLAND BIOLABS, INC.			GUZO, DAVID	
32 TOZER RO. BEVERLY, M			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
25,2121, 11			1636	23
		DATE MAILED: 10/30/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/689,343	VAISVILA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Guzo	1636				
The MAILING DATE f this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 J</u>	luly 2002					
	is action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>		ers prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disp sition of Claims						
 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 October 2000</u> is/are:	a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti 	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>23</u> . ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/689,343

Art Unit: 1636

Detailed Action

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because Figure 1 fails to show the agarose gel analysis of the susceptibility to *Msel* of pVR-18 plasmid encoding M. *Msel* as described in the specification (Brief Description of Figure 1B). Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Figure 1 is listed in the Brief Description of the Drawings as containing parts "A" and "B"; however, Figure 1 does not contain parts "A" and "B". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

It is also noted that applicants must submit corrected drawings as per the PTO-948 form attached to the Office Action mailed 1/29/03.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,343

Art Unit: 1636

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

This rejection is maintained for reasons of record in the previous Office Action (Paper #20, mailed 1/29/03) and for reasons outlined below.

Applicants traverse this rejection by asserting that the claims have been amended to refer to a particular isolate from which the isolated DNA is obtainable.

Applicant's arguments filed 7/28/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claim language "obtainable from" does not limit the source of the DNA encoding the *Msel* restriction endonuclease to the recited *Micrococcus species*NEB#446 or PTA-2421. The claimed DNA could be isolated from any organism and be "obtainable from" *Micrococcus species* NEB#446 or PTA-2421 simply by transfecting or transforming the *Micrococcus* species NEB#446 or PTA-2421 with a plasmid carrying the DNA coding for any *Msel* restriction endonuclease. Additionally, claim 2 is in no way limited to a DNA encoding any specific *Msel* restriction endonuclease. As applicants have not disclosed a representative number of species sufficient to describe the claimed invention (for the reasons recited in the previous Office Action), it must be considered that applicants have not provided a adequate written description of the claimed genus.

Application/Control Number: 09/689,343

Art Unit: 1636

It is noted that this rejection was discussed with a Technology Center 1600 Biotechnology Practice Specialist (George Elliott, Ph.D.) who concurred in the examiner's analysis of the claim language.

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Applicants have not fully complied with the Deposit Requirements for the deposit of the *E. coli* cells (ATCC Accession # PTA-2421). Specifically, applicants have not provided a statement that all restrictions on the availability of the deposited material to the public will be irrevocably removed upon granting of a patent on the instant invention. Also, applicants need to amend the specification (on p. 61) to recite the address of the ATCC depository.

No Claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Guzo, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-1906. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1636

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 305-1998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

David Guzo October 21, 2003

> John J. Doll, Director Technology Center 1600